

## **CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD**

## **Construction Contract**

TEXAS		McKinne	y Construction, Inc.	
Project Name:	Glen Cove Water I	•	Date Contract Began:	2/25/2020
Contract Number:	3200437		Date Contract Ended:	1/13/2021
Project Number:	WT1904	•	Date Report Card Completed:	12/13/2021
		•	Previous Report Card Rating:	None
			Below Contractual Expectations 1 - 3	
		SCORING METHOD:	Met Contractual Expectations 4	
		<u>scommentatios</u> .	Exceeded Contractual Expectation 5	
		Cells in 've	llow' highlight must be completed	
			on Criteria	Score
	ND PROFESSIONALISM			
	Overall Performance.	orojects?		4
<ol> <li>Would you recommend this Contractor for future projects?</li> <li>Contractor was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?</li> </ol>				4
4. Change orders were submitted in a timely manner?				4
5. Contractor was knowledgeable, competent and professional?				4
<ul><li>6. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?</li><li>7. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?</li></ul>				4
		•	,	4 4
<ul> <li>8. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy &amp; respect toward City appointed consultants (i.e. engineers, materials testing, surveyors, etc.)</li> <li>9. Contractor was attentive and responsive to Citizen complaints?</li> </ul>				4
10. Contractor's key p	personnel remained consistent th	roughout the project?		4
Comments:				
			Total Vendor Responsiveness:	40
B. QUALITY AND DEI	LIVERY verall schedule deadlines?			
2. Consultant completed the job on time?				5 4
3. Was contract completed in the # of days bid (including time extensions granted via change orders)? or,				4
Was contract completed in City stipulated # of days (including time extensions granted via change orders)?				4
4. Contractor responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?				4
Site cleanliness was maintained throughout project (i.e. trash, street, etc)?     Responsiveness to punch list items was timely and satisfactory?				4
·	nts were accurate, complete and		?	4
Comments:				
			Total Vendor Quality and Delivery:	29
C. FINANCIAL				
1. Change order pric	•			4
Sub-contractors invoices were managed well and paid in a timely manner?     Pay applications were accurate and submitted in a timely manner?				4
3. Tay applications v	were accurate and submitted in a	timely manner:		-
Comments:				
			Total Financial:	12
			Average Score:	4.05
			Total Vendor Score:	81.00
Would you hire t	them again?		□ No	81.00
vvouid you filre t	inem agam: wres		LI NO	
List positives or				
negatives that stood				
out on the job:				
DIRECTIONS:				
	eted within 30 days of contract com	pletion.		
2. Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departmens affected by contract.				
	ard to be kept in project folder; send			
4. If contract is not bein	ng renewed and/or is being terminat	ed due to performance issues, se	end copy of report card to the contractor.	

Anthony Talluto

12/13/2021

Date