## CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES Vendor Name: Thompson Contract/PO# Date: **7/22/2025** by: Ryan Edghill Fiscal Years: 24-25 **Scoring Guide** 1 Does not meet criteria 2 Generally does not meet criteria 3 Meets criteria 4 Exceeds some criteria 5 Exceptional criteria ear 1 Score ear 4 Scor ear 2 Scor ear 3 Scor ear 6 Scor ear 7 Scor ear 5 Scor **Evaluation Criteria** Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box) **VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS** Vendor is knowledgeable and competent 4 4 3 3 Service level agreements are met 2 3 Communication is professional, relevant and timely Post-engagement support 3 3 **Total Vendor Responsiveness Score** 13 12 n 0 0 0 0 0 **QUALITY AND DELIVERY** Deliverables are on-time 3 2 Project completed on-time 3 3 Satisfies scope of services 3 3 3 Information provided is reliable 3 Depth of consultant's team 4 4 Quality of deliverables 4 4 Working with this consultant is adding value 4 4 Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score 24 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 **FINANCIAL** Value of products/services is high 5 5 Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely 4 2 4 4 Budget is upheld 5 5 Pricing is competitive 5 Invoice pricing matches contract pricing 5 Total Vendor Financial Score 23 21 0 0 0 0 0 0 **REPUTATIONAL** Confidentiality and security of documents and data 5 5 Organizational stability and resiliency 5 5 Industry reputation is good standing 4 4 5 Consultant represents City of League City properly 5 **Total Vendor Reputational Score** 19 19 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Total Vendor Score** 79 75 0 0 0 0 0 0 Would you hire them again? ✓ Yes ■ No Overall Comments:

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F