



CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD

Construction Contract Lucas Construction

Project Name:	Asphalt Street Rehabilitation - Package 7	Date Contract Began:	9/9/2024
Contract Number:	3240334	Date Contract Ended:	8/30/2025
Project Number:	RE 1704H	Date Report Card Completed:	4/22/2025
		Previous Report Card Rating:	n/a

	Below Contractual Expectations	1 - 3
SCORING METHOD:	Met Contractual Expectations	4
	Exceeded Contractual Expectations	5

Cells in 'yellow' highlight must be completed

Evaluation Criteria	Score
A. PERFORMANCE AND PROFESSIONALISM	
1. Satisfaction with Overall Performance.	4
2. Would you recommend this Contractor for future projects?	5
3. Contractor was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?	4
4. Change orders were submitted in a timely manner?	4
5. Contractor was knowledgeable, competent and professional?	4
6. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?	4
7. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?	4
8. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy & respect toward City appointed consultants (i.e. engineers, materials testing, surveyors, etc.)	4
9. Contractor was attentive and responsive to Citizen complaints?	5
10. Contractor's key personnel remained consistent throughout the project?	4
Comments:	<i>Had one resident that was "offended" when Lucas offered to pay for vehicle repair deductible. Even though resident hit their own mailbox, resident felt contractor did poor job of managing lane widths. Mailbox extended into roadway 6-8".</i>

Total Vendor Responsiveness: 42

B. QUALITY AND DELIVERY	
1. Contractor met overall schedule deadlines?	5
2. Consultant completed the job on time?	5
3. Was contract completed in the # of days bid (including time extensions granted via change orders)? or, Was contract completed in City stipulated # of days (including time extensions granted via change orders)?	5
4. Contractor responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?	4
5. Site cleanliness was maintained throughout project (i.e. trash, street, etc)?	4
6. Responsiveness to punch list items was timely and satisfactory?	4
7. Closeout documents were accurate, complete and submitted in a timely manner?	4
Comments:	<i>Addressed punch list items. Had a few mailboxes to attend to. Completed project ahead of schedule.</i>

Total Vendor Quality and Delivery: 31

C. FINANCIAL	
1. Change order pricing was fair?	4
2. Sub-contractors invoices were managed well and paid in a timely manner?	4
3. Pay applications were accurate and submitted in a timely manner?	4
Comments:	<i>Had one Change Order which was directed by the City: replace a mailbox and add some concrete trash can pads for a resident.</i>

Total Financial: 12

Average Score: 4.25

Total Vendor Score: 85.00

Would you hire them again?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
List positives or negatives that stood out on the job:	<i>Crews worked very well with site conditions. Had a small delay and design chaged because of site conditions different from geotech report.</i>

- DIRECTIONS:**
1. Form must be completed within 30 days of contract completion.
 2. Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departmens affected by contract.
 3. One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing.
 4. If contract is not being renewed and/or is being terminated due to performance issues, send copy of report card to the contractor.

Scott Tuma

6/26/2025

Date



CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD

Professional Services

Engineering, Construction Materials Testing, Surveying, Environmental, Etc.

GeoTech Engineering and Testing

Project Name:	Asphalt Street Rehabilitation - Package 7	Date Contract Began:	6/1/2023
Contract Number:	3240492	Date Contract Ended:	9/30/2025
Project Number:	RE1704H	Date Report Card Completed:	4/22/2025
		Previous Report Card Rating:	N/A

	Below Contractual Expectations	1 - 3
<u>SCORING METHOD:</u>	Met Contractual Expectations	4
	Exceeded Contractual Expectatio	5

Cells in 'blue' highlight MUST be completed

Evaluation Criteria	Score
A. PERFORMANCE AND PROFESSIONALISM	
1. Satisfaction with Overall Performance.	3
2. Would you recommend this Consultant for future projects?	4
3. Consultant was knowledgeable, competent and professional?	4
4. Consultant was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?	4
5. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?	4
6. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?	4
7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scope of their contract?	4
8. Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?	4
9. Consultant attended required site visits and submitted documents accordingly?	4
10. Consultant provided adequate project staffing, supervision and quality control?	4
Comments:	
<i>Had not received an answer about compaction - sent several emails.</i>	
Total Vendor Responsiveness:	
39	

B. QUALITY AND DELIVERY	
1. Consultant met the project milestones in schedule provided?	4
2. Consultant completed the contract on time?	4
3. Consultant responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?	2
4. Information provided was reliable and accurate?	3
5. Quality of deliverables was satisfactory?	3
6. Data and documents provided in a format compatible with City resources?	5
7. Data and documents provided in a secure and confidential manner?	5
Comments:	
<i>I had asked several times about a compaction issue (passed compaction but road was physically pumping) with no response. I question accuracy of test of compaction.</i>	
Total Vendor Quality and Delivery:	
26	

C. FINANCIAL	
1. Amendment(s) (scope and fee) to contract, if needed, was accurate and fair?	4
2. Invoices were accurate and timely?	4
3. Responsiveness to billing requests?	4
Comments:	
Total Financial:	
12	

Average Score:	
3.85	
Total Vendor Score:	
77.00	

Would you hire them again? Yes No

List positives or negatives that stood out on the job:

- DIRECTIONS:**
- Form must be completed within 30 days of contract completion.
 - Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departments affected by contract.
 - One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing.
 - If contract is not being renewed and/or is being terminated due to performance issues, send copy of report card to the contractor.

Scott Tuma

4/22/2025
Date