

CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD

Construction Contract

/ TEXAS		Lucas Constr	uction Company, Inc.	
Project Name:	Asphalt Street Rehabilitator		Date Contract Began:	3/21/2022
Contract Number:	3220164		Date Contract Ended:	7/19/2022
Project Number:	RE 1704G	•	Date Report Card Completed:	5/1/2022
•		•	Previous Report Card Rating:	N/A
			Below Contractual Expectations 1 - 3	
		SCORING METHOD:	Below Contractual Expectations 1 - 3 Met Contractual Expectations 4	
		SCORING WETTOD.	Exceeded Contractual Expectation 5	
		Cells in 'vel	low' highlight must be completed	
			on Criteria	Score
	AND PROFESSIONALISM			
	Overall Performance. nmend this Contractor for future	nroiects?		4
Contractor was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?				4
4. Change orders were submitted in a timely manner?				4
5. Contractor was knowledgeable, competent and professional?				5
6. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?7. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?				4
8. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward City appointed consultants (i.e. engineers, materials testing, surveyors, etc.)				4
9. Contractor was attentive and responsive to Citizen complaints?				4
10. Contractor's key personnel remained consistent throughout the project?				5
Comments:	Did very good work.			
			=	
B. QUALITY AND DE	TIVERY		Total Vendor Responsiveness	42
	verall schedule deadlines?			5
2. Consultant completed the job on time?				5
3. Was contract completed in the # of days bid (including time extensions granted via change orders)? or,				5
Was contract completed in City stipulated # of days (including time extensions granted via change orders)? 4. Contractor responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?				
5. Site cleanliness was maintained throughout project (i.e. trash, street, etc)? 6. Site cleanliness was maintained throughout project (i.e. trash, street, etc)?				4 5
6. Responsiveness to punch list items was timely and satisfactory?				4
7. Closeout docume	ents were accurate, complete an	d submitted in a timely mann	er?	4
Comments:	Finished ahead of schedule. Comple	eted punch list without contacting	g inspector. Quickly addressed any requests.	
			Total Vendor Quality and Delivery	32
C. FINANCIAL				
Change order pricing was fair? Sub-contractors invoices were managed well and paid in a timely manage?				4
Sub-contractors invoices were managed well and paid in a timely manner? Pay applications were accurate and submitted in a timely manner?				4 5
or ray applications	Were accurate and submitted in	a amery manner.		, and the second
Comments:	Fair pricing, had to ask for clarificat	tion on some pricing. Did bring up	o culvert work needed.	
			Total Financial	
			Average Score	4.35
			Total Vendor Score	87.00
Would you hire t	them again?		□ No	
List positives or				
negatives that stood Single street of asphalt rehab. Finished ahead of schedule out on the job:				
DIRECTIONS:				
	leted within 30 days of contract cor			
2. Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departmens affected by contract. 3. One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing.				
		• • •	send copy of report card to the contractor.	
	,	Scott Tuma	7/15/202	27
				<u>-</u>
		Senior Project Manager	Date	

Vendor Report Card - Project Mgmt Construction Contracts 05/2022