

CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD

Professional Services

Engineering, Construction Materials Testing, Surveying, Environmental, Etc.

Birkhoff, Hendricks, Carter, LLP				
Project Name:	Harbour Park 1 Lift	Station Feasibility Study	Date Contract Began:	2/3/2023
Contract Number:	3230262		Date Contract Ended:	6/25/2023
Project Number:	WW 2203		Date Report Card Completed:	8/14/2023
			Previous Report Card Rating:	NA
			Below Contractual Expectations 1 - 3	
		SCORING METHOD:	Met Contractual Expectations 4	
			Exceeded Contractual Expectations 4	
		Cells in 'bi	lue' highlight MUST be completed	
			ation Criteria	Score
	AND PROFESSIONALISM			4
	 Satisfaction with Overall Performance. Would you recommend this Consultant for future projects? 			
·	knowledgeable, competent a			5 5
4. Consultant was r	responsive to City directed ch	nanges to priorities and/or sched		4
		sy and respect toward Citizens a		5
6. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community? 7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scape of their contract?				N/A
7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scope of their contract?8. Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?				5 N/A
		igs and documented the meeting submitted documents according		N/A 5
	•	g, supervision and quality contr	-,	5
Comments:				
			Total Vendor Responsiveness:	38
B. QUALITY AND DI				
Consultant met the project milestones in schedule provided? Consultant completed the contract on time?				4
2. Consultant completed the contract on time? 3. Consultant responded to communications (questions in a timely manner?)				4
Consultant responded to communications/questions in a timely manner? Information provided was reliable and accurate?				4
5. Quality of deliverables was satisfactory?				4
6. Data and documents provided in a format compatible with City resources?				5
7. Data and docum	ents provided in a secure and	d confidential manner?		5
Comments:				
			Total Vendor Quality and Delivery:	30
C. FINANCIAL				
		needed, was accurate and fair?	?	N/A
Invoices were accurate and timely? Responsiveness to billing requests?				5 N/A
3. Responsiveness	to billing requests?			N/A
Comments:				
			Total Financial:	5
			Average Score:	4.56
			Total Vendor Score:	91.25
Would you hire	them again?	es	□ No	
List positives or negatives that stood out on the job: BHC did a good job of analyzing exist condition of the lift station. They provided good scope of work and cost estimate for the improvements. They addressed City's comments adequately. They had a good understanding of the project and City's expectations and goals for the project.				
DIRECTIONS:				
•	pleted within 30 days of contrac	•	auchle and any other day of the control of the cont	
2. Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departmens affected by contract. 3. One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing.				
			es, send copy of report card to the contractor.	
	, o. to being ter	Susan Oyler	8/14/2023	
		Susuit Oylet	Date	ı