

## **CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD**

**Professional Services** 

Engineering, Construction Materials Testing, Surveying, Environmental, Etc.

			ARDURRA	
Project Name:	Dallas Salmon WWTP	Improvements (WW2103)	Date Contract Began:	4/27/2021
Contract Number:	3210324		Date Contract Ended:	On-Going
Project Number:	WW2103		Date Report Card Completed:	6/7/2022
			Previous Report Card Rating:	
			Below Contractual Expectations 1 - 3	
		SCORING METHOD:	Met Contractual Expectations 4	
			Exceeded Contractual Expectatic 5	
		Cells in 'bl	ue' highlight MUST be completed	
A DEDECORAÇÃO	ND BDOTESSION COST	Evalua	tion Criteria	Score
PERFORMANCE A     Satisfaction with (	ND PROFESSIONALISM Overall Performance			4
	imend this Consultant for fu	ture projects?		4 4
3. Consultant was knowledgeable, competent and professional?				4
4. Consultant was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?				4
5. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?				4
6. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?  7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scane of their contract?				4
<ul><li>7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scope of their contract?</li><li>8. Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?</li></ul>				4
Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?      Consultant attended required site visits and submitted documents accordingly?				4
10. Consultant provided adequate project staffing, supervision and quality control?				4
Comments:				
			Total Vendor Responsiveness:	40
B. QUALITY AND DEL	LIVERY			
Consultant met the project milestones in schedule provided?				4
2. Consultant completed the contract on time?				4
3. Consultant responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?  4. Information provided was reliable and assurate?				4
Information provided was reliable and accurate?     Quality of deliverables was satisfactory?				3
Data and documents provided in a format compatible with City resources?				4
7. Data and documents provided in a secure and confidential manner?				4
Comments:				
			Total Vendor Quality and Delivery:	27
C. FINANCIAL				
		needed, was accurate and fair?		4
2. Invoices were acc	•			4
3. Responsiveness to	billing requests?			4
Comments:				
			Total Financial:	12
			Average Score:	3.95
			Total Vendor Score:	79.00
Would you hire t	hem again?	es	□ No	
trodia you line t				
List positives or negatives that stood out on the job:  Ardurra provided design doucments that met the demand and requirements for the RAS pump upgrades. Ardurra's estimate was below the winning bid.				
DIRECTIONS:				
1. Form must be completed <u>within 30</u> days of contract completion.				
2. Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departmens affected by contract.				
3. One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing.				
4. If contract is not bein	ng renewed and/or is being ter	minated due to performance issue	s, send copy of report card to the contractor.	
		Marcos Garcia	6/7/2022	
			Date	