CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS

Vendor Name: **Chastang Ford** Contract/PO # **2250205**

Date: **9/29/2025** Form completed by: **Marcos Gonzales** Fiscal Years: **2025**

Scoring Guide

1 Does not meet criteria
2 Generally does not meet criteria
3 Meets criteria
4 Exceeds some criteria
5 Exceptional criteria

Evaluation Criteria	Year 1 Score	Year 2 Score	Year 3 Score	Year 4 Score	Year 5 Score	Year 6 Score	Year 7 Score	Year 8 Score
Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box)								
VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS								
Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product	5							
Service level agreements are met	5							
Communication is relevant and timely	5							
Vendor provides timely response to questions	5							
Broad contact with City of League City	5							
Total Vendor Responsiveness Score	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
QUALITY AND DELIVERY								
Deliveries are on-time	5							
Product(s) meet specifications	5							
Product(s) is free of defects	5							
Product(s) is reliable	5							
Product(S) is available	5							
Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected	5							
Warranty is competitive and upheld	5							
Depth of vendor's team	5							
Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FINANCIAL								
Value of product is high	5							
Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely	5							
Pricing is competitive	5							
Invoice pricing matches contract pricing	5							
Total Vendor Financial Score	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUTATIONAL								
Confidentiality and security of documents and data	5							
Organizational stability and resiliency	5							
Industry reputation is in good standing	5							
Total Vendor Reputational Score	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vendor Score	**100**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

Would you hire them again? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Overall Comments: **Chastang has provided the city with high-quality vehicles at excellent pricing. Delivery and service have been impressive over the past year.**

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

If a contract is not being renewed and/or is being broken due to performance issues, please send a copy of the report card to the vendor.

CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS

Vendor Name: **Code 3 Technology** Contract/PO # **2251569**

Date: **9/29/2025** Form completed by: **Marcos Gonzales** Fiscal Years: **2025**

Scoring Guide

1 Does not meet criteria
2 Generally does not meet criteria
3 Meets criteria
4 Exceeds some criteria
5 Exceptional criteria

Evaluation Criteria	Year 1 Score	Year 2 Score	Year 3 Score	Year 4 Score	Year 5 Score	Year 6 Score	Year 7 Score	Year 8 Score
Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box)								
VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS								
Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product	5							
Service level agreements are met	5							
Communication is relevant and timely	5							
Vendor provides timely response to questions	5							
Broad contact with City of League City	5							
Total Vendor Responsiveness Score	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
QUALITY AND DELIVERY								
Deliveries are on-time	5							
Product(s) meet specifications	5							
Product(s) is free of defects	5							
Product(s) is reliable	5							
Product(S) is available	5							
Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected	5							
Warranty is competitive and upheld	5							
Depth of vendor's team	5							
Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FINANCIAL								
Value of product is high	5							
Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely	5							
Pricing is competitive	5							
Invoice pricing matches contract pricing	5							
Total Vendor Financial Score	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUTATIONAL								
Confidentiality and security of documents and data	5							
Organizational stability and resiliency	4							
Industry reputation is in good standing	4							
Total Vendor Reputational Score	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vendor Score	**98**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

Would you hire them again? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Code 3 has provided laptops and docks to the city for past several years with no issues.

Overall Comments:

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

If a contract is not being renewed and/or is being broken due to performance issues, please send a copy of the report card to the vendor.

CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS

Vendor Name: **Consolidated Traffic** Contract/PO # **2250190**

Date: **9/29/2025** Form completed by: **Marcos Gonzales** Fiscal Years: **2025**

Scoring Guide

1 Does not meet criteria
2 Generally does not meet criteria
3 Meets criteria
4 Exceeds some criteria
5 Exceptional criteria

Evaluation Criteria	Year 1 Score	Year 2 Score	Year 3 Score	Year 4 Score	Year 5 Score	Year 6 Score	Year 7 Score	Year 8 Score
Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box)								
VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS								
Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product	5							
Service level agreements are met	5							
Communication is relevant and timely	5							
Vendor provides timely response to questions	5							
Broad contact with City of League City	5							
Total Vendor Responsiveness Score	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
QUALITY AND DELIVERY								
Deliveries are on-time	5							
Product(s) meet specifications	5							
Product(s) is free of defects	5							
Product(s) is reliable	5							
Product(S) is available	5							
Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected	5							
Warranty is competitive and upheld	5							
Depth of vendor's team	5							
Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FINANCIAL								
Value of product is high	5							
Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely	5							
Pricing is competitive	5							
Invoice pricing matches contract pricing	5							
Total Vendor Financial Score	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUTATIONAL								
Confidentiality and security of documents and data	5							
Organizational stability and resiliency	4							
Industry reputation is in good standing	4							
Total Vendor Reputational Score	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vendor Score	**98**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

Would you hire them again? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Provides opticom systems for public safety vehicles while offering good pricing and fast deliveries.

Overall Comments:

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

If a contract is not being renewed and/or is being broken due to performance issues, please send a copy of the report card to the vendor.

CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS

Vendor Name: **Siddons Martin** Contract/PO # **2250171**

Date: **9/29/2025** Form completed by: **Marcos Gonzales** Fiscal Years: **2025**

Scoring Guide

1 Does not meet criteria
2 Generally does not meet criteria
3 Meets criteria
4 Exceeds some criteria
5 Exceptional criteria

Evaluation Criteria	Year 1 Score	Year 2 Score	Year 3 Score	Year 4 Score	Year 5 Score	Year 6 Score	Year 7 Score	Year 8 Score
Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box)								
VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS								
Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product	5							
Service level agreements are met	5							
Communication is relevant and timely	5							
Vendor provides timely response to questions	5							
Broad contact with City of League City	5							
Total Vendor Responsiveness Score	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
QUALITY AND DELIVERY								
Deliveries are on-time	5							
Product(s) meet specifications	5							
Product(s) is free of defects	5							
Product(s) is reliable	5							
Product(S) is available	5							
Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected	5							
Warranty is competitive and upheld	5							
Depth of vendor's team	5							
Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FINANCIAL								
Value of product is high	5							
Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely	5							
Pricing is competitive	4							
Invoice pricing matches contract pricing	5							
Total Vendor Financial Score	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUTATIONAL								
Confidentiality and security of documents and data	5							
Organizational stability and resiliency	5							
Industry reputation is in good standing	5							
Total Vendor Reputational Score	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vendor Score	**99**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

Would you hire them again? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Siddons Martin's graphic division provides high quality material with quick turn around times.

Overall Comments:

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

If a contract is not being renewed and/or is being broken due to performance issues, please send a copy of the report card to the vendor.

CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS

Vendor Name: **MAL Technologies** Contract/PO # **2250090**

Date: **9/29/2025** Form completed by: **Marcos Gonzales** Fiscal Years: **2025**

Scoring Guide

1 Does not meet criteria
2 Generally does not meet criteria
3 Meets criteria
4 Exceeds some criteria
5 Exceptional criteria

Evaluation Criteria	Year 1 Score	Year 2 Score	Year 3 Score	Year 4 Score	Year 5 Score	Year 6 Score	Year 7 Score	Year 8 Score
Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box)								
VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS								
Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product	5							
Service level agreements are met	5							
Communication is relevant and timely	5							
Vendor provides timely response to questions	5							
Broad contact with City of League City	5							
Total Vendor Responsiveness Score	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
QUALITY AND DELIVERY								
Deliveries are on-time	5							
Product(s) meet specifications	5							
Product(s) is free of defects	5							
Product(s) is reliable	5							
Product(S) is available	5							
Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected	5							
Warranty is competitive and upheld	5							
Depth of vendor's team	5							
Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FINANCIAL								
Value of product is high	5							
Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely	5							
Pricing is competitive	5							
Invoice pricing matches contract pricing	5							
Total Vendor Financial Score	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUTATIONAL								
Confidentiality and security of documents and data	5							
Organizational stability and resiliency	5							
Industry reputation is in good standing	5							
Total Vendor Reputational Score	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vendor Score	**100**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

Would you hire them again? ☑ **Yes** ☐ **No**

Mal Technologies is a local vendor providing excellent pricing and service on a variety of upfitting projects.

Overall Comments:

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

If a contract is not being renewed and/or is being broken due to performance issues, please send a copy of the report card to the vendor.