

City of League City, TX

300 West Walker League City TX 77573

Meeting Minutes City Council

Tuesday, December 11, 2018 5:00 PM Council Chambers
200 West Walker Street

Council Work Session

The City Council of the City of League City, Texas, met in a work session in Council Chambers at 200 West Walker Street on the above date at 6:00 p.m.

Mayor: Pat Hallisey

City Council Members: Andy Mann

Hank Dugie Larry Millican Todd Kinsey Greg Gripon Chad Tressler Nick Long

City Manager: John Baumgartner

Assistant City Manager Bo Bass

Assistant City Manager Michael Kramm
City Attorney: Nghiem Doan
City Secretary: Diana M. Stapp
Chief of Police: Gary Ratliff
Director of Budget/Project Management Angie Steelman
Director of Engineering: Christopher Sims

Director of Finance: Vacant

Director of Human Resources/Civil Service:

Director of Parks & Cultural Services:

Chien Wei

Director of Planning/Development:

David Hoover

Director of Public Works:

Jody Hooks

1. <u>CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL OF MEMBERS</u>

Mayor Hallisey called the meeting to order at 5:01 p.m. and called the roll. All members of Council were present except Hank Dugie and Keith Gross. Andy Mann arrived at 5:14.

Absent 2 - Mr. Hank Dugie and Mr. Keith Gross

Present 6 - Mayor Pat Hallisey, Mr. Andy Mann, Mr. Larry Millican, Mr. Todd Kinsey, Mr. Greg Gripon and Mr. Nick Long

2. ROADWAY CAPITAL RECOVERY FEE: PROCESS, COLLECTION RATES, AND BENCHMARKING

Director of Engineering Christopher Sims said tonight is going over the Capital Recover Fee report, some fees that we would like to talk about as far as how we zoned out the City. This is something that has gone through our Capital Improvement Advisory Committee (CIAC) and what is being presented tonight is what they are recommending as well.

Edmund Haas of Freese and Nichols, Inc. presented the report and answered questions.

ROADWAY CAPITAL RECOVERY FEE STUDY

Process and Methodology Overview Cost per Service Unit Calculations and CIAC Collection Rate Recommendation Benchmarking and Collection Rates

Program Process

- Prepare Land Use Assumptions (November 2017 Adoption by Council)
- Prepare Capital Improvement Plan (Spring 2018)
- LUA/CIP Public Hearing City Council (October 2018)
- CRF Public Hearing and Council Consideration January 2019
- Adopt CRF Ordinance

Methodology Overview

Chapter 235, Texas Local Government Code – Technical Requirements, addressed in study report

Service Areas

Land Use assumptions

Service Units

Existing Capacity, Usage & Deficiencies

Projected Demand

Capital Improvement Plan

Service Unit Equivalency

Cost per Service Unit Calculation

Roadway Service Areas

- Must be confined to city limits
- Service areas can't be greater than 6-mile diameter
- Fees must be spent in the service area in which they are captured

Land Use Assumptions (LUA) and the Capital Improvements Plan (CIP)

- Public hearing with City Council was held in October
- CIP was revised for City contribution assumptions

Capital Improvements Plan - New Projects

Road Widening - Main Street, League City Pkwy., Calder Rd.

Roadway Extension/New Roadway - Landing Blvd., Palomino, Southwest sector network

ROADWAY CAPITAL RECOVERY FEE COST PER SERVICE UNIT CALCULATIONS

CRF CIP Summary

	SA 1	SA2	SA3	SA4
Projected Growth	29,116	4,563	43,676	27,991
Net CIP Capacity Supplied	9,314	9,362	36,470	56,811
Capacity Consumed	100%	49%	100%	48%
by New Growth				

CRF CIP Costing

Service	Total Project	Project Cost	Cost of Net	Pct. Of CIP	Cost
Area	Cost	(50% Credit)	Capacity	Attributable	Attributable
			Supplied	to Growth	to Growth
1	\$29.6M	\$14.8M	\$9.4M	100%	\$9.4M
2	\$76.8M	\$38.4M	\$34.0M	49%	\$16.6M
3	\$108.3M	\$54.2M	\$50.4M	100%	\$50.4M
4	\$132.4M	\$66.2M	\$65.9M	48%	\$31.4M
Total	\$347.1M	\$173.6M	\$159.7M	92%	\$107.8M

Cost per Service Unit Calculation

Impact Fee per Service Unit = Credited Cost Attributable to Growth ÷ Projected Growth

Service	Credited Cost	Projected	Credited Cost per	Full Cost per
Area	Attributable	Growth	Service Unit	Service Unit
	to Growth	(veh-mi)	(\$/veh-mi)	(\$/veh-mi)
1	\$ 9.4M	29,116	\$ 323	\$ 646
2	\$16.6M	4,563	\$3,632	\$7,264
3	\$50.4M	43,676	\$1,153	\$2,306
4	\$31.4M	27,991	\$1,120	\$2,240
TOTAL	\$107.8M	105,346	\$1,251	\$2,502

CALCULATING AN IMPACT FEE

Roadways - A Two Step Process:

Step 1. Determine number of service units (vehicle-miles) generated by the development using the equivalency table.

No. of Development Units x Vehicle-miles per development unit = Development's Vehicle-miles

Step 2. Calculate the impact fee based on the fee per service unit for the roadway service area where the development is located.

Development's Vehicle-miles x Cost per Vehicle-mile = Impact Fee due from Development

CIAC RECOMMENDATION

Service	Full Cost per	Residential	Percent	Non-	Percent
Area	Service Unit (50%	Collection	of Actual	Residential	of Actual
	Max Allowable)	Rate		Collection Rate	
1	\$ 646	\$ 323	50%	\$323	50%
2	\$7,264	\$1,120	15%	\$560	8%
3	\$2,306	\$1,120	48%	\$560	24%
4	\$2,240	\$1,120	50%	\$560	25%

Policy Considerations

- Across the Board (Percent of Max)
- Uniform Fee (i.e. Residential = \$1,120/SUE)
- Residential vs. Non-residential
- Special Considerations

Incremental Increases Other Policy Considerations

What IF?

Roadway Service Area Restructuring

- Would require restart of public hearings
- New timeline: completion March 2019

Resultant still greater than SA4

Service	Credited Cost	Projected	Credited Cost per	Full Cost per
Area	Attributable	Growth	Service Unit	Service Unit
	to Growth	(veh-mi)	(\$/veh-mi)	(\$/veh-mi)
1	\$ 9.4M	29,116	\$ 323	\$ 646
2/3	\$85.2M	48,239	\$1,766	\$7,082
4	\$31.4M	27,991	\$1,120	\$4,491

NEXT STEPS

Program Process

January 8

- CRF Public Hearing and Council Consideration
- Adopt CRF Ordinance

3. <u>DISCUSSION REGARDING BOND PROGRAM PROJECTS</u>

There was no discussion on this item.

4. <u>PUBLIC COMMENTS</u>

5. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

At 6:01 p.m., Mayor Hallisey said there being no further business, this meeting is adjourned.

PAT HALLISEY MAYOR

DIANA M. STAPP
CITY SECRETARY

(SEAL)

MINUTES APPROVED: February 26, 2019