## CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GENERAL SERVICES

Vendor Name: Paradigm Traffic Systems Contract/PO # 3250235

Form completed

Date: 7/15/2025 by: Lance Libby Fiscal Years: FY24-25

## **Scoring Guide**

- 1 Does not meet criteria
- 2 Generally does not meet criteria
- 3 Meets criteria
- 4 Exceeds some criteria
- 5 Exceptional criteria

| •   |           |           |           |             |           |              |              |           |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
|   | r 1 Score | r 2 Score | r 3 Score | ear 4 Score | r 5 Score | Year 6 Score | Year 7 Score | r 8 Score |
| Evaluation Criteria                                 | Year      | Year      | Year      | Yea         | Year      | Yea          | Yea          | Year      |
| Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box)          |           |           |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS                               |           |           |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about service | 5         | 5         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Service level agreements are met                    | 5         | 5         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Communication is relevant and timely                | 5         | 5         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Communication is professional                       | 5         | 5         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Vendor provides timely response to questions        | 4         | 4         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Total Vendor Responsiveness Score                   | 24        | 24        | 0         | 0           | 0         | 0            | 0            | 0         |
| QUALITY AND DELIVERY                                |           |           |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Services on-time and schedule is upheld             | 5         | 5         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Satisfies scope of services                         | 5         | 5         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Service is reliable                                 | 4         | 4         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Quality of deliverables                             | 4         | 4         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Product or service provides significant added value | 4         | 4         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Quality of personnel assigned                       | 5         | 5         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Depth of vendor's team                              | 4         | 4         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score             | 31        | 31        | 0         | 0           | 0         | 0            | 0            | 0         |
| FINANCIAL   |           |           |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Value of products/services is high                  | 4         | 4         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely      | 5         | 5         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Budget is upheld                                    | 4         | 4         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Pricing is competitive                              | 4         | 4         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Invoice pricing matches contract pricing            | 4         | 4         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Total Vendor Financial Score                        | 21        | 21        | 0         | 0           | 0         | 0            | 0            | 0         |
| REPUTATIONAL  |           |           |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Confidentiality and security of documents and data  | 4         | 4         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Organizational stability and resiliency             | 5         | 5         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Industry reputation is in good standing             | 5         | 5         |           |             |           |              |              |           |
| Total Vendor Reputational Score                     | 14        | 14        | 0         | 0           | 0         | 0            | 0            | 0         |
| Total Vendor Score                                  | 90        | 90        | 0         | 0           | 0         | 0            | 0            | 0         |

## Would you hire them again? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Paradigm has all the materials we use and gets it to us in a timely manner. If issues arrise they are able to get the issue resolved in a timely manner

Overall Comments:

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

If a contract is not being renewed and/or is being broken due to performance issues, please send a copy of the report card to the vendor.