

## **CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD**

**Professional Services** 

Engineering, Construction Materials Testing, Surveying, Environmental, Etc.

UES (Alpha Testing)					
Project Name:	Southeast Service Area 1	runks Section 5 - 24-inch WL	Date Contra	ct Began:	7/27/2021
Contract Number:	3210487		Date Contra	ct Ended:	4/30/2022
Project Number:	WT1105		Date Report	Card Completed:	3/13/2025
			Previous Re	port Card Rating:	N/A
			Below Contractual Expectations	1 - 3	
		SCORING METHOD:	Met Contractual Expectations	4	
		ocoluito METHOD.	Exceeded Contractual Expectations	•	
		Cells in 'blu	ie' highlight MUST be comple		
			on Criteria		Score
	AND PROFESSIONALISM				
	Overall Performance.	uro projecto?			5 4
Would you recommend this Consultant for future projects?     Consultant was knowledgeable, competent and professional?					4
4. Consultant was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?  4. Consultant was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?					4
5. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?					4
6. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?					4
7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scope of their contract?					4
8. Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?  9. Consultant attended required site visits and submitted documents accordingly?					4 4
9. Consultant attended required site visits and submitted documents accordingly?  10. Consultant provided adequate project staffing, supervision and quality control?					4
Comments:	UES (Alpha Testing) has demonstrated a high level of professionalism, reliability, and technical expertise throughout the project.				
			Total Vendo	or Responsiveness:	41
B. QUALITY AND D	ELIVERY				
1. Consultant met	the project milestones in sche	dule provided?			4
2. Consultant completed the contract on time?					4
3. Consultant responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?					5
4. Information provided was reliable and accurate?  5. Quality of deliverables was satisfactors?					4 4
<ul><li>5. Quality of deliverables was satisfactory?</li><li>6. Data and documents provided in a format compatible with City resources?</li></ul>					4
7. Data and documents provided in a secure and confidential manner?					
			nilestones and delivering work in a timely man		
Comments:			entation practices have supported project neo vided in a clear and compatible format.	eds effectively. The	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			ality and Delivery:	29
C. FINANCIAL					
Amendment(s) (scope and fee) to contract, if needed, was accurate and fair?					4
2. Invoices were accurate and timely?					4
3. Responsiveness	to billing requests?				N/A
Comments:	UES (Alpha Testing) provided til responsiveness to billing reques		project. No contract amendments were requi	red, and	
				Total Financial:	8
				Average Score:	4.11
			Total	Vendor Score:	82.11
Would you hire	them again? ✓Ye	es	□ No		
List positives or negatives that stood out on the job:  UES (Alpha Testing) performed reliably throughout the project, meeting milestones and delivering work on time. Their responsiveness and adherence to documentation standards contributed to a smooth workflow. Invoicing was accurate and timely, with no contract amendments required. Overall, their services aligned with project expectations, supporting a successful completion					
2. Lead Project Manag 3. One copy of report	card to be kept in project folder;	form with input from Accounts Pay send copy to Purchasing.	yable and any other departmens affected by c	ontract.	
		Anthony Talluto		6/27/2024	
		•		Date	