

## **CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD**

**Professional Services** 

Engineering, Construction Materials Testing, Surveying, Environmental, Etc.

|  |   | Geotech E                          | Ingineering & Testing  |            |           |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|------------|-----------|
| Project Name:  | Hometown He   | roes Park 5K Loop                  | Date Contract Began:   |            | 7/26/2021 |
| Contract Number:   | 3210426   |                                    | Date Contract Ended:   |            | 4/1/2022  |
| Project Number:  | PK1803  |                                    | Date Report Card Com   | pleted:    | 7/1/2024  |
|  |   |                                    | Previous Report Card I                                       | Rating:    | n/a       |
|  |   |                                    | Below Contractual 5  | -          |           |
|  |   | SCORING METHOD:                    |  | 1 - 3      |           |
|  |   | SCORING WIETHOD:                   | Met Contractual Expectations Exceeded Contractual Expectatic | 4<br>5     |           |
|  |   | Cells in 'hi                       | ue' highlight MUST be completed                              |            |           |
|  |   |                                    | tion Criteria  |            | Score     |
|  | AND PROFESSIONALISM   |                                    |  |            |           |
|  | Overall Performance.  mmend this Consultant for fut   | ure projects?                      |  |            | 4         |
| •  | knowledgeable, competent an   | · ·                                |  |            | 4         |
| Consultant was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?  |   |                                    |  |            | 4         |
| 5. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?  |   |                                    |  |            | 4         |
| 6. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?   |   |                                    |  |            | 4         |
| 7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scope of their contract?  8. Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?                                |   |                                    |  |            | 4<br>N/A  |
| <ul><li>8. Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?</li><li>9. Consultant attended required site visits and submitted documents accordingly?</li></ul> |   |                                    |  |            | N/A<br>4  |
| 10. Consultant provided adequate project staffing, supervision and quality control?  |   |                                    |  |            | 4         |
|  |   |                                    |  |            |           |
| Comments:  | CMT firm overall work and servi   | ce was satisfactory.               |  |            |           |
|  |   |                                    | Total Vendor Respon  | nsiveness: | 36        |
| B. QUALITY AND D   | ELIVERY   |                                    |  |            |           |
|  | the project milestones in sche  | dule provided?                     |  |            | N/A       |
| 2. Consultant completed the contract on time?  |   |                                    |  |            | N/A       |
| Consultant responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?     Information provided was reliable and accurate?   |   |                                    |  |            | 4         |
| Uniormation provided was reliable and accurate?      Quality of deliverables was satisfactory?   |   |                                    |  |            | 4         |
| 6. Data and documents provided in a format compatible with City resources?   |   |                                    |  |            | 4         |
| 7. Data and documents provided in a secure and confidential manner?  |   |                                    |  |            | 4         |
| Comments:  | Quality of correspodence and re   | porting was satisfactory and tho   | ough throughout the project duration.                        |            |           |
|  |   |                                    |  |            |           |
| C. FINANCIAL   |   |                                    | Total Vendor Quality and                                     | Delivery:  | 20        |
|  | scope and fee) to contract, if r  | needed, was accurate and fair?     |  |            | N/A       |
| 2. Invoices were accurate and timely?  |   |                                    |  |            | 5         |
| 3. Responsiveness  | to billing requests?  |                                    |  |            | 5         |
| Comments:  | Project was complete under bud  | lget. Geotech billed accordingly o | and as a result, the contract was fulfilled and under budge  | t.         |           |
|  |   |                                    | Total  | Financial: | 10        |
|  |   |                                    | Averag   | e Score:   | 4.13      |
|  |   |                                    | Total Vendo  | r Score:   | 82.50     |
| Would you hire   | them again?   | es                                 | □ No   |            |           |
|  |   |                                    |  |            |           |
| List positives or  |   |                                    |  |            |           |
| negatives that stood   | Overall Geotech Engineering firm provided the City with satisfactory performance throughout the project's duration. |                                    |  |            |           |
| out on the job:  |   |                                    |  |            |           |
| DIRECTIONS   |   |                                    |  |            |           |
| DIRECTIONS:  1. Form must be comp  | eleted within 30 days of contract   | completion.                        |  |            |           |
| •  |   | •                                  | ayable and any other departmens affected by contract.        |            |           |
| -  | card to be kept in project folder;  | •                                  |  |            |           |
| 4. If contract is not be   | ing renewed and/or is being tern  | ninated due to performance issue   | s, send copy of report card to the contractor.               |            |           |
|  |   | Jaime Dino                         |  | 7/1/2024   |           |
|  |   |                                    |  | Date       |           |