

CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD

Professional Services

Engineering, Construction Materials Testing, Surveying, Environmental, Etc.

			ARKK			
Project Name:	FM518 Waterline Replace	cement - I45 to Landing Ditch		Date Contract Bega	n:	9/29/2020
Contract Number:	3210042			Date Contract Ende	d:	10/25/2023
Project Number:	WT1904C			Date Report Card Co	ompleted:	6/27/2024
				Previous Report Car	rd Rating:	88
			Below Contractua	l Expectations	1-3	
		SCORING METHOD:	Met Contractual I	·	4	
			Exceeded Contrac		5	
		Cells in 'bl	ue' highlight MU			
		Evaluat	tion Criteria			Score
	AND PROFESSIONALISM Overall Performance.					5
	nmend this Consultant for fu	ture projects?				5
3. Consultant was knowledgeable, competent and professional?						5
4. Consultant was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?						4
5. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?						4
6. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?						4
7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scope of their contract?8. Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?						4
Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly? Consultant attended required site visits and submitted documents accordingly?						4
Consultant accordingly: Consultant provided adequate project staffing, supervision and quality control?						4
		· · ·				
Comments:						
				Total Vendor Resp	oonsiveness:	43
B. QUALITY AND DE	ELIVERY					
1. Consultant met the project milestones in schedule provided?						4
2. Consultant completed the contract on time?						4
3. Consultant responded to communications/questions in a timely manner? 4. Information provided was reliable and accurate?						5
Information provided was reliable and accurate? Quality of deliverables was satisfactory?						4
Cuality of deliverables was satisfactory: But a and documents provided in a format compatible with City resources?						4
	ents provided in a secure and	•				4
C						
Comments:						
				Total Vendor Quality a	nd Delivery:	29
C. FINANCIAL						
1. Amendment(s) (scope and fee) to contract, if needed, was accurate and fair?						4
2. Invoices were ac	•					4
3. Responsiveness	lo billing requests:					N/A
Comments:						
				Tot	tal Financial:	8
					ige Score:	4.21
				Total Vend	-	84.21
Would you hire	them again? ✓Y	25	□ No	Total vellu	or score.	04.21
would you nire	them again:	25	□ No			
List positives or Inequatives that stood Given the congested area surrounding this stretch of FM518, the project went as smoothly as could be hoped.						
negatives that stood Given the congested area surrounding this stretch of FM518, the project went as smoothly as could be hoped. out on the job:						
DIRECTIONS:						
1. Form must be completed within 30 days of contract completion.						
2. Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departmens affected by contract.						
 One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing. If contract is not being renewed and/or is being terminated due to performance issues, send copy of report card to the contractor. 						
4. If contract is not bei	ing renewed and/or is being teri	ninated due to performance issues	s, send copy of report card	to the contractor.		
		Anthony Talluto			6/27/2024	
					Date	