



CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD

Construction Contract

JERDON ENTERPRISE L.P.

Project Name:	Nature Center Kayak Launch	Date Contract Began:	12/1/2024
Contract Number:		Date Contract Ended:	7/1/2026
Project Number:	PK1910B	Date Report Card Completed:	7/1/2026
		Previous Report Card Rating:	n/a

<u>SCORING METHOD:</u>	Below Contractual Expectations	1 - 3
	Met Contractual Expectations	4
	Exceeded Contractual Expectatic	5

Cells in 'yellow' highlight must be completed

Evaluation Criteria		Score
A. PERFORMANCE AND PROFESSIONALISM		
1. Satisfaction with Overall Performance.		4
2. Would you recommend this Contractor for future projects?		5
3. Contractor was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?		3
4. Change orders were submitted in a timely manner?		4
5. Contractor was knowledgeable, competent and professional?		4
6. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?		4
7. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?		4
8. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy & respect toward City appointed consultants (i.e. engineers, materials testing, surveyors, etc.)		4
9. Contractor was attentive and responsive to Citizen complaints?		4
10. Contractor's key personnel remained consistent throughout the project?		4
Comments:		

Total Vendor Responsiveness: 40

B. QUALITY AND DELIVERY		
1. Contractor met overall schedule deadlines?		4
2. Consultant completed the job on time?		4
3. Was contract completed in the # of days bid (including time extensions granted via change orders)? or, Was contract completed in City stipulated # of days (including time extensions granted via change orders)?		4
4. Contractor responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?		3
5. Site cleanliness was maintained throughout project (i.e. trash, street, etc)?		4
6. Responsiveness to punch list items was timely and satisfactory?		3
7. Closeout documents were accurate, complete and submitted in a timely manner?		4
Comments:		

Total Vendor Quality and Delivery: 26

C. FINANCIAL		
1. Change order pricing was fair?		4
2. Sub-contractors invoices were managed well and paid in a timely manner?		4
3. Pay applications were accurate and submitted in a timely manner?		4
Comments:		

Total Financial: 12

Average Score: 3.90

Total Vendor Score: 78.00

Would you hire them again? ☒ Yes ☐ No

List positives or negatives that stood out on the job:

Overall good, would use again.

DIRECTIONS:

- Form must be completed within 30 days of contract completion.
- Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departments affected by contract.
- One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing.
- If contract is not being renewed and/or is being terminated due to performance issues, send copy of report card to the contractor.

Anthony Talluto

7/1/2026

Date



CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD

Professional Services

Engineering, Construction Materials Testing, Surveying, Environmental, Etc.

GeoTech Engineering and Testing

Project Name: Asphalt Street Rehabilitation - Package 7
Contract Number: 3240492
Project Number: RE1704H

Date Contract Began: 6/1/2023
Date Contract Ended: 9/30/2025
Date Report Card Completed: 4/22/2025
Previous Report Card Rating: N/A

SCORING METHOD: Below Contractual Expectations 1 - 3
Met Contractual Expectations 4
Exceeded Contractual Expectations 5

Cells in 'blue' highlight MUST be completed

Evaluation Criteria		Score
A. PERFORMANCE AND PROFESSIONALISM		
1. Satisfaction with Overall Performance.		3
2. Would you recommend this Consultant for future projects?		4
3. Consultant was knowledgeable, competent and professional?		4
4. Consultant was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?		4
5. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?		4
6. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?		4
7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scope of their contract?		4
8. Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?		4
9. Consultant attended required site visits and submitted documents accordingly?		4
10. Consultant provided adequate project staffing, supervision and quality control?		4
Comments:	Had not received an answer about compaction - sent several emails.	

Total Vendor Responsiveness: 39

B. QUALITY AND DELIVERY		
1. Consultant met the project milestones in schedule provided?		4
2. Consultant completed the contract on time?		4
3. Consultant responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?		2
4. Information provided was reliable and accurate?		3
5. Quality of deliverables was satisfactory?		3
6. Data and documents provided in a format compatible with City resources?		5
7. Data and documents provided in a secure and confidential manner?		5
Comments:	I had asked several times about a compaction issue (passed compaction but road was physically pumping) with no response. I question accuracy of test of compaction.	

Total Vendor Quality and Delivery: 26

C. FINANCIAL		
1. Amendment(s) (scope and fee) to contract, if needed, was accurate and fair?		4
2. Invoices were accurate and timely?		4
3. Responsiveness to billing requests?		4
Comments:		

Total Financial: 12

Average Score: 3.85

Total Vendor Score: 77.00

Would you hire them again? ☒ Yes ☐ No

List positives or negatives that stood out on the job:

DIRECTIONS:

- Form must be completed within 30 days of contract completion.
- Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departments affected by contract.
- One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing.
- If contract is not being renewed and/or is being terminated due to performance issues, send copy of report card to the contractor.

Scott Tuma

4/22/2025

Date