



## CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD

Professional Services

Engineering, Construction Materials Testing, Surveying, Environmental, Etc.

### Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Project Name:	Asphalt Street Rehabilitation - Package 6	Date Contract Began:	5/27/2022
Contract Number:	3220362	Date Contract Ended:	3/14/2023
Project Number:	RE 1704G	Date Report Card Completed:	5/12/2023
		Previous Report Card Rating:	99

<u>SCORING METHOD:</u>	Below Contractual Expectations	1 - 3
	Met Contractual Expectations	4
	Exceeded Contractual Expectatio	5

**Cells in 'blue' highlight MUST be completed**

Evaluation Criteria	Score
<b>A. PERFORMANCE AND PROFESSIONALISM</b>	
1. Satisfaction with Overall Performance.	5
2. Would you recommend this Consultant for future projects?	5
3. Consultant was knowledgeable, competent and professional?	5
4. Consultant was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?	5
5. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?	5
6. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?	5
7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scope of their contract?	5
8. Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?	5
9. Consultant attended required site visits and submitted documents accordingly?	5
10. Consultant provided adequate project staffing, supervision and quality control?	5
<b>Comments:</b>	<i>No known interactions with Business Community. Field Tech was very curious to staff and contractor given the issues presented in the field. Tech was responsive to call-outs from contractor.</i>
<b>Total Vendor Responsiveness:</b>	
	<b>50</b>

<b>B. QUALITY AND DELIVERY</b>	
1. Consultant met the project milestones in schedule provided?	5
2. Consultant completed the contract on time?	5
3. Consultant responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?	4
4. Information provided was reliable and accurate?	3
5. Quality of deliverables was satisfactory?	3
6. Data and documents provided in a format compatible with City resources?	5
7. Data and documents provided in a secure and confidential manner?	5
<b>Comments:</b>	<i>Consultant presented reports in a timely manner. Was disappointed with coring results for Mary Lane and Tallow Forrest; called for mill and overlay and contractor ended up performing mostly FDR.</i>
<b>Total Vendor Quality and Delivery:</b>	
	<b>30</b>

<b>C. FINANCIAL</b>	
1. Amendment(s) (scope and fee) to contract, if needed, was accurate and fair?	5
2. Invoices were accurate and timely?	5
3. Responsiveness to billing requests?	5
<b>Comments:</b>	<i>Had no billing issues. Invoices were accurate and timely.</i>
<b>Total Financial:</b>	
	<b>15</b>

<b>Average Score:</b>	<b>4.75</b>
<b>Total Vendor Score:</b>	<b>95.00</b>

Would you hire them again?     Yes                       No

*List positives or negatives that stood out on the job:*      *Came \$12,711.50 under budget. City had to implement a \$200k CO because of extra work needed on Mary Lane and Tallow Forrest.*

- DIRECTIONS:**
1. Form must be completed **within 30 days** of contract completion.
  2. Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departmens affected by contract.
  3. One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing.
  4. If contract is not being renewed and/or is being terminated due to performance issues, send copy of report card to the contractor.

Scott Tuma

5/12/2023  
Date