## CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS Vendor Name: Chastang Enterprises, Inc. Contract/PO # 2240597 Form completed Date: 9/26/2024 by: Wesley Baker **Scoring Guide** 1 Does not meet criteria 2 Generally does not meet criteria 3 Meets criteria 4 Exceeds some criteria 5 Exceptional criteria ear 3 Scor ear 5 Scor ear 6 Scor ear 2 Sco **Evaluation Criteria** Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box) **VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS** Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product 5 Service level agreements are met 5 5 Communication is relevant and timely Vendor provides timely response to questions 4 Broad contact with City of League City 4 Total Vendor Responsiveness Score 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 **QUALITY AND DELIVERY** Deliveries are on-time 5 5 Product(s) meet specifications 4 Product(s) is free of defects 5 Product(s) is reliable 5 Product(S) is available Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected 5 5 Warranty is competitive and upheld Depth of vendor's team 5 **Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score** 39 0 0 0 0 **FINANCIAL** Value of product is high 4 4 Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely Pricing is competitive 4 5 Invoice pricing matches contract pricing **Total Vendor Financial Score** 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 REPUTATIONAL Confidentiality and security of documents and data 4 Organizational stability and resiliency 4 Industry reputation is in good standing 5 **Total Vendor Reputational Score** 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Total Vendor Score** 92 0 0 0 0 0 0 Would you hire them again? ☑ Yes ■ No Chastang Ford provides timely qoutes and works diligently to ensure the city receives the vehicles as soon as possible. Overall

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

Comments:

If a contract is not being renewed and/or is being broken due to performance issues, please send a copy of the report card to the vendor.

## CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS Vendor Name: Mal Technologies Fleet Contract/PO # 2240818 Form completed Date: 10/1/2024 by: Wesley Baker Fiscal Years: 2025 **Scoring Guide** 1 Does not meet criteria 2 Generally does not meet criteria 3 Meets criteria 4 Exceeds some criteria 5 Exceptional criteria ear 1 Score ear 5 Score ear 3 Scor ear 2 Scor ear 7 Scor ear 4 Scor ear 6 Scor **Evaluation Criteria** Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box) **VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS** Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product 5 Service level agreements are met 4 Communication is relevant and timely 5 4 Vendor provides timely response to questions Broad contact with City of League City 4 22 Total Vendor Responsiveness Score 0 0 0 0 0 0 **QUALITY AND DELIVERY** Deliveries are on-time 5 Product(s) meet specifications 5 Product(s) is free of defects 4 5 Product(s) is reliable Product(S) is available 4 5 Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected 5 Warranty is competitive and upheld Depth of vendor's team 5 Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score 38 0 0 0 0 0 0 **FINANCIAL** Value of product is high 4 Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely 4 Pricing is competitive 4 Invoice pricing matches contract pricing 4 Total Vendor Financial Score 16 0 0 0 0 0 0 REPUTATIONAL Confidentiality and security of documents and data 5 Organizational stability and resiliency 5 Industry reputation is in good standing 5 **Total Vendor Reputational Score** 15 0 0. 0 0 0 0 0 **Total Vendor Score** 0 0 0 0 0 ☑ Yes ■ No Would you hire them again? Mal Technologies Fleet has a positive standing on quality upfitting for local municipalities. Overall Comments: Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

If a contract is not being renewed and/or is being broken due to performance issues, please send a copy of the report card to the vendor.