# CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS Vendor Name: Chastang Ford Contract/PO # 2250205 Form completed by: Marcos Gonzales Fiscal Years: 2025 Scoring Guide 1 Does not meet criteria 2 Generally does not meet criteria 3 Meets criteria 4 Exceeds some criteria

	ar 1 Score	ar 2 Score	ear 3 Score	ar 4 Score	ear 5 Score	ar 6 Score	ar 7 Score	ar 8 Score
Evaluation Criteria	Year	Year	Yea	Year ,	Yea	Year	Year	Year
Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box)								
VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS								
Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product	5							
Service level agreements are met	5							
Communication is relevant and timely	5							
Vendor provides timely response to questions	5							
Broad contact with City of League City	5							
Total Vendor Responsiveness Score	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
QUALITY AND DELIVERY								
Deliveries are on-time	5							
Product(s) meet specifications	5							
Product(s) is free of defects	5							
Product(s) is reliable	5							
Product(S) is available	5							
Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected	5							
Warranty is competitive and upheld	5							
Depth of vendor's team	5							
Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FINANCIAL								
Value of product is high	5							
Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely	5							
Pricing is competitive	5							
Invoice pricing matches contract pricing	5							
Total Vendor Financial Score	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REPUTATIONAL								
Confidentiality and security of documents and data	5							
Organizational stability and resiliency	5							
Industry reputation is in good standing	5							
Total Vendor Reputational Score	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vendor Score	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Would you hire them again? ✓ Yes ☐ No

Chastang has provided the city with high-quality vehicles at excellent pricing. Delivery and service have been impressive over the past year.

Overall

**Comments:** 

5 Exceptional criteria

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

### CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS Vendor Name: Code 3 Technology Contract/PO # 2251569 Form completed Date: 9/29/2025 by: Marcos Gonzales Fiscal Years: 2025 **Scoring Guide** 1 Does not meet criteria 2 Generally does not meet criteria 3 Meets criteria 4 Exceeds some criteria 5 Exceptional criteria ear 2 Score ear 1 Scor ear 3 Scor ear 4 Scor ear 5 Scor **Evaluation Criteria** Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box) **VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS** Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product 5 5 Service level agreements are met 5 Communication is relevant and timely Vendor provides timely response to questions 5 5 Broad contact with City of League City Total Vendor Responsiveness Score 25 0 0 0 0 **QUALITY AND DELIVERY** Deliveries are on-time 5 Product(s) meet specifications Product(s) is free of defects Product(s) is reliable 5 Product(S) is available 5 Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected 5 Warranty is competitive and upheld 5 Depth of vendor's team Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score 40 0 0 **FINANCIAL** Value of product is high 5 Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely 5 Pricing is competitive 5 Invoice pricing matches contract pricing 5 **Total Vendor Financial Score** 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **REPUTATIONAL** Confidentiality and security of documents and data 5 Organizational stability and resiliency 4 Industry reputation is in good standing Total Vendor Reputational Score 0 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Total Vendor Score** 98 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ✓ Yes ✓ No Would you hire them again?

Code 3 has provided laptops and docks to the city for past several years with no issues.

Overall

Comments:

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

If a contract is not being renewed and/or is being broken due to performance issues, please send a copy of the report card to the vendor.

# CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS Vendor Name: Consolidated Traffic Contract/PO # 2250190 Form completed Date: 9/29/2025 by: Marcos Gonzales Fiscal Years: 2025 **Scoring Guide** 1 Does not meet criteria 2 Generally does not meet criteria 3 Meets criteria 4 Exceeds some criteria 5 Exceptional criteria ear 2 Score ear 1 Scor ear 3 Scor ear 4 Scor **Evaluation Criteria** Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box) **VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS** Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product 5 5 Service level agreements are met 5 Communication is relevant and timely Vendor provides timely response to questions 5 5 Broad contact with City of League City Total Vendor Responsiveness Score 25 0 0 0 0 **QUALITY AND DELIVERY** Deliveries are on-time 5 Product(s) meet specifications Product(s) is free of defects Product(s) is reliable 5 Product(S) is available 5 Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected 5 Warranty is competitive and upheld 5 Depth of vendor's team **Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score** 40 0 0 **FINANCIAL** Value of product is high 5 Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely 5 Pricing is competitive 5 Invoice pricing matches contract pricing 5 **Total Vendor Financial Score** 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **REPUTATIONAL** Confidentiality and security of documents and data 5 Organizational stability and resiliency 4 Industry reputation is in good standing Total Vendor Reputational Score 0 0 13 0 0 0 0 0 **Total Vendor Score** 98 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ✓ Yes ✓ No Would you hire them again? Provides opticom systems for public safety vehicles while offering good pricing and fast deliveries.

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

Overall Comments:

# CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS Vendor Name: Siddons Martin Contract/PO # 2250171 Form completed Date: 9/29/2025 by: Marcos Gonzales Fiscal Years: 2025 **Scoring Guide** 1 Does not meet criteria 2 Generally does not meet criteria 3 Meets criteria 4 Exceeds some criteria 5 Exceptional criteria ear 2 Score ear 1 Scor ear 3 Scor ear 4 Scor **Evaluation Criteria** Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box) **VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS** Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product 5 5 Service level agreements are met 5 Communication is relevant and timely Vendor provides timely response to questions 5 5 Broad contact with City of League City Total Vendor Responsiveness Score 25 0 0 0 0 **QUALITY AND DELIVERY** Deliveries are on-time 5 Product(s) meet specifications Product(s) is free of defects Product(s) is reliable 5 Product(S) is available 5 Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected 5 Warranty is competitive and upheld 5 Depth of vendor's team **Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score** 40 0 0 **FINANCIAL** Value of product is high 5 Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely 5 Pricing is competitive 4 Invoice pricing matches contract pricing 5 19 **Total Vendor Financial Score** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **REPUTATIONAL** Confidentiality and security of documents and data 5 Organizational stability and resiliency 5 Industry reputation is in good standing Total Vendor Reputational Score 0 0 15 0 0 0 0 0 **Total Vendor Score** 99 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ✓ Yes ✓ No Would you hire them again? Siddons Martin's graphic division provides high quality material with quick turn around times. Overall **Comments:**

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

## CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: GOODS Vendor Name: MAL Technologies Contract/PO # 2250090 Form completed Date: 9/29/2025 by: Marcos Gonzales Fiscal Years: 2025 **Scoring Guide** 1 Does not meet criteria 2 Generally does not meet criteria 3 Meets criteria 4 Exceeds some criteria 5 Exceptional criteria ear 2 Score ear 1 Scor ear 3 Scor ear 4 Scor **Evaluation Criteria** Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box) **VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS** Vendor is knowledgeable and competent about product 5 5 Service level agreements are met 5 Communication is relevant and timely Vendor provides timely response to questions 5 5 Broad contact with City of League City Total Vendor Responsiveness Score 25 0 0 0 0 **QUALITY AND DELIVERY** Deliveries are on-time 5 Product(s) meet specifications Product(s) is free of defects Product(s) is reliable 5 Product(S) is available 5 Product(s) mistakes can/will be corrected 5 Warranty is competitive and upheld 5 Depth of vendor's team **Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score** 40 0 0 **FINANCIAL** Value of product is high 5 Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely 5 Pricing is competitive 5 Invoice pricing matches contract pricing 5 **Total Vendor Financial Score** 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **REPUTATIONAL** Confidentiality and security of documents and data 5 Organizational stability and resiliency 5 Industry reputation is in good standing Total Vendor Reputational Score 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Total Vendor Score** 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ✓ Yes ✓ No Would you hire them again? Mal Technologies is a local vendor providing excellent pricing and service on a variety of upfitting projects.

Comments:

Overall

Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F