CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES Contract/PO # TBD Date: 10/16/2025 by: Karissa Steer Fiscal Years: FY26-FY28 **Scoring Guide** 1 Does not meet criteria 2 Generally does not meet criteria 3 Meets criteria 4 Exceeds some criteria 5 Exceptional criteria ear 1 Score ear 4 Score 2 Score 3 Score 6 Score 7 Score 5 Score **Evaluation Criteria** Renewal Period (annotate with an X in box) **VENDOR RESPONSIVENESS** Vendor is knowledgeable and competent 4 Service level agreements are met 3 5 Communication is professional, relevant and timely 3 Post-engagement support **Total Vendor Responsiveness Score** 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **QUALITY AND DELIVERY** Deliverables are on-time 3 3 Project completed on-time Satisfies scope of services 4 Information provided is reliable 3 Depth of consultant's team 3 Quality of deliverables 3 3 Working with this consultant is adding value Total Vendor Quality and Delivery Score 22 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **FINANCIAL** Value of products/services is high 3 3 Proposals and invoices are accurate and timely Budget is upheld 3 3 Pricing is competitive Invoice pricing matches contract pricing 3 Total Vendor Financial Score 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **REPUTATIONAL** 3 Confidentiality and security of documents and data Organizational stability and resiliency 3 Industry reputation is good standing 3 Consultant represents City of League City properly 3 **Total Vendor Reputational Score** 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Total Vendor Score 64 0 Would you hire them again? Yes ■ No Overall **Comments:** Grade: 86-100 = A, 76-85 = B, 66-75 = C, below 66 = F

If a contract is not being renewed and/or is being broken due to performance issues, please send a copy of the report card to the vendor.