



CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD

Construction Contract

Traffic Systems Construction

Project Name:	SH3 at SH96 Intersection	Date Contract Began:	9/27/2022
Contract Number:	3230183	Date Contract Ended:	9/25/2025
Project Number:	ST2001	Date Report Card Completed:	10/15/2025
		Previous Report Card Rating:	n/a

	Below Contractual Expectations	1 - 3
SCORING METHOD:	Met Contractual Expectations	4
	Exceeded Contractual Expectations	5

Cells in 'yellow' highlight must be completed

Evaluation Criteria	Score
A. PERFORMANCE AND PROFESSIONALISM	
1. Satisfaction with Overall Performance.	4
2. Would you recommend this Contractor for future projects?	4
3. Contractor was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?	4
4. Change orders were submitted in a timely manner?	4
5. Contractor was knowledgeable, competent and professional?	4
6. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?	4
7. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?	4
8. Contractor exhibited professionalism, courtesy & respect toward City appointed consultants (i.e. engineers, materials testing, surveyors, etc.)	4
9. Contractor was attentive and responsive to Citizen complaints?	4
10. Contractor's key personnel remained consistent throughout the project?	4
<i>Comments:</i>	

Total Vendor Responsiveness: 40

B. QUALITY AND DELIVERY	
1. Contractor met overall schedule deadlines?	3
2. Consultant completed the job on time?	3
3. Was contract completed in the # of days bid (including time extensions granted via change orders)? or , Was contract completed in City stipulated # of days (including time extensions granted via change orders)?	3
4. Contractor responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?	4
5. Site cleanliness was maintained throughout project (i.e. trash, street, etc)?	4
6. Responsiveness to punch list items was timely and satisfactory?	4
7. Closeout documents were accurate, complete and submitted in a timely manner?	4
<i>Comments:</i>	

Total Vendor Quality and Delivery: 21

C. FINANCIAL	
1. Change order pricing was fair?	4
2. Sub-contractors invoices were managed well and paid in a timely manner?	4
3. Pay applications were accurate and submitted in a timely manner?	4
<i>Comments:</i>	

Total Financial: 12

Average Score: 3.84

Total Vendor Score: 76.84

Would you hire them again? **Yes** **No**

<i>List positives or negatives that stood out on the job:</i>	<i>Utility delays outside of their control impacted overall schedule and affected some performance issues. Otherwise acceptable and they understand the City's wants/needs at this point due to number of projects performed recently.</i>
---	--

- DIRECTIONS:**
- Form must be completed within 30 days of contract completion.
 - Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departments affected by contract.
 - One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing.
 - If contract is not being renewed and/or is being terminated due to performance issues, send copy of report card to the contractor.

Anthony Talluto

10/15/2025
Date



CITY OF LEAGUE CITY VENDOR REPORT CARD

Professional Services

Engineering, Construction Materials Testing, Surveying, Environmental, Etc.

UES Professional Solutions 44, LLC.

Project Name:	The Meadows Subdivision Drainage Improvements	Date Contract Began:	11/23/2023
Contract Number:	3240239	Date Contract Ended:	9/30/2025
Project Number:	DR1905	Date Report Card Completed:	4/7/2026
		Previous Report Card Rating:	N/A

<u>SCORING METHOD:</u>	Below Contractual Expectations	1 - 3
	Met Contractual Expectations	4
	Exceeded Contractual Expectations	5

Cells in 'blue' highlight MUST be completed

Evaluation Criteria	Score
A. PERFORMANCE AND PROFESSIONALISM	
1. Satisfaction with Overall Performance.	4
2. Would you recommend this Consultant for future projects?	4
3. Consultant was knowledgeable, competent and professional?	4
4. Consultant was responsive to City directed changes to priorities and/or schedule?	4
5. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Citizens and City Staff?	4
6. Consultant exhibited professionalism, courtesy and respect toward Business Community?	4
7. Consultant demonstrated they complied with the Scope of their contract?	4
8. Consultant attended required project meetings and documented the meetings accordingly?	4
9. Consultant attended required site visits and submitted documents accordingly?	4
10. Consultant provided adequate project staffing, supervision and quality control?	4
<i>Comments:</i>	
Total Vendor Responsiveness:	
	40

B. QUALITY AND DELIVERY	
1. Consultant met the project milestones in schedule provided?	4
2. Consultant completed the contract on time?	4
3. Consultant responded to communications/questions in a timely manner?	4
4. Information provided was reliable and accurate?	4
5. Quality of deliverables was satisfactory?	4
6. Data and documents provided in a format compatible with City resources?	4
7. Data and documents provided in a secure and confidential manner?	4
<i>Comments:</i>	
Total Vendor Quality and Delivery:	
	28

C. FINANCIAL	
1. Amendment(s) (scope and fee) to contract, if needed, was accurate and fair?	4
2. Invoices were accurate and timely?	4
3. Responsiveness to billing requests?	4
<i>Comments:</i>	
Total Financial:	
	12

Average Score:		4.00
Total Vendor Score:		80.00

Would you hire them again? Yes No

List positives or negatives that stood out on the job: UES did a satisfactory job with material testing, test report submission and billing.

- DIRECTIONS:**
- Form must be completed within 30 days of contract completion.
 - Lead Project Manager on contract will complete the form with input from Accounts Payable and any other departments affected by contract.
 - One copy of report card to be kept in project folder; send copy to Purchasing.
 - If contract is not being renewed and/or is being terminated due to performance issues, send copy of report card to the contractor.

Susan Oyler

4/7/2026

Date